Digital Watermarking: From Traditional Techniques to Machine Learning Approaches

R12921067 電機所碩二 林想

Outline

- 1. Introduction to Digital Watermarking
- 2. Traditional Digital Watermarking Method
	- a. Image watermarking
	- b. Spatial-based vs Transform-based
	- c. Discrete cosine transform (DCT)
	- d. Discrete wavelet transform (DWT)
	- e. Proposed watermarking scheme
	- f. Experiment result
- 3. ML Digital Watermarking method
	- a. Supervised GAN watermarking
- 4. Challenges and Limitations
- 5. Conclusions

1. Introduction to Digital Watermarking

- Definition
	- Digital watermarking: Hidden information embedded within digital media
	- Technique for protecting intellectual property and ensuring data integrity
- Key Applications
	- Copyright protection
	- Authentication
	- Integrity verification
	- Content tracking
- Core Challenges
	- **Robustness**: Survive various transformations
	- **Imperceptibility**: Invisible to human perception
	- **Security**: Resistant to intentional attacks

2. Traditional Digital Watermarking Method: A blind and robust color image watermarking scheme based on DCT and DWT domains [1]

[1] Mohammed, Abdulhakeem O., et al. "A blind and robust color image watermarking scheme based on DCT and DWT domains." *Multimedia Tools and Applications* 82.21 (2023): 32855-32881.

2.a Image watermarking

- Two main processes: embedding and extraction
- Robust vs Fragile
	- Robust watermarking is developed to withstand attacks, ensuring that the embedded watermark survives modification attempts. Used in copyright protection.
	- Fragile watermarking is devised to be vulnerable to any modification. Used in tamper detection and authentication.

https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/13/10/6105

2.a Image watermarking

- Blind vs Non-blind
	- Blind approaches require neither the original host image nor the watermark.
	- Non-blind require either or both
- Spatial-based vs Transform-based

2.b Spatial-based vs Transform-based

- Spatial-based [2], [3]
	- Histogram shifting, Least Significant Bits (LSBs), prediction error expansion, and difference expansion
	- \circ LSB: modifies the least significant bits of the image's pixel data(0-255) to embed the watermark
	- Pros:
		- Simple implementation
		- High capacity
	- Cons:
		- Low robustness
		- Vulnerable to image processing

[2] Craver, Scott, et al. "Resolving rightful ownerships with invisible watermarking techniques: Limitations, attacks, and implications." *IEEE Journal on Selected areas in Communications* 16.4 (1998): 573-586.

[3] Yeung, Minerva M., and Fred Mintzer. "An invisible watermarking technique for image verification." *Proceedings of international conference on image processing*. Vol. 2. IEEE, 1997.

2.b Spatial-based vs Transform-based

● Transform-based

- In the transform domain, watermark embedding involves converting the host image into the frequency domain, modifying its coefficients according to predefined rules, and subsequently reconstructing the watermarked image through an inverse transform.
- Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT), Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT), and Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT)
- Greater robustness compared to spatial-based methods
- Robust against various attacks, including compression, filtering, blurring, and rotation

2.c Discrete cosine transform (DCT)

- Low frequency: Image quality deteriorates significantly during embedding.
- High frequency: Vulnerable to typical attacks like JPEG compression.
- Middle frequency: Best suited for embedding due to resistance to lossy compression and minimal impact on the cover image.

$$
F(p,q) = \alpha(p)\alpha(q) \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} I(m,n) \cos\left[\frac{\pi p(2m+1)}{2M}\right] \cos\left[\frac{\pi q(2n+1)}{2N}\right], \quad \frac{0 \le p \le M-1}{0 \le q \le N-1}
$$

$$
I(m,n) = \sum_{p=0}^{M-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} \alpha(p)\alpha(q)F(p,q) \cos\left[\frac{\pi p(2m+1)}{2M}\right] \cos\left[\frac{\pi q(2n+1)}{2N}\right], \quad \frac{0 \le m \le M-1}{0 \le n \le N-1}
$$

[4] Parah, Shabir A., et al. "Robust and blind watermarking technique in DCT domain using inter-block coefficient differencing." *Digital Signal Processing* 53 (2016): 11-24.

2.d Discrete wavelet transform (DWT)

- Haar wavelet is used here due to its simplicity and low cost.
- LL: Contains most image energy; embedding here reduces image quality.
- HH: Vulnerable to compression attack

https://medium.com/@koushikc2000/2d-discrete-wavelet-transformation-and-its-applications-in-digital-image-processing-using-matlab-1f 5c68672de3

2.e Proposed watermarking scheme

2.e Proposed watermarking scheme

- Combine DCT and DWT
	- DCT
		- DCT is highly resistant to signal processing attacks such as filtering, blurring, and JPEG compression
		- low computational cost and high-energy compaction
	- DWT
		- DWT can decompose an image into different resolutions while capturing its time and frequency representations
		- The DWT allows the decomposition of the image into different frequency sub-bands (LL, LH, HL, HH). By embedding the watermark in the LH sub-band, which is less sensitive to modifications, the scheme can achieve a better balance between robustness and imperceptibility.
		- The further decomposition of the LH sub-band into LH1 provides additional flexibility for watermark embedding

- 1. Decompose RGB Image
- 2. Calculate Energy:
	- a. Formula:
- 3. Divide and Transform

$$
E = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} P(i, j)
$$

4. Haar 2D-DWT:

- Apply Haar 2D-DWT to matrix to get sub-bands: LL, LH, HL, HH.
- Reapply Haar 2D-DWT to the LH sub-band to obtain: LL1, LH1, HL1, HH1.

5. Quantization and Matrix Construction:

- Use quantization value Q and $S(i,j) = \left| \frac{LH1(i,j)}{O} \right|$
- Construct matrix S with adjusted LH1 coefficients.

6. Embed the encrypted watermark image (EW) into the LH1 sub-band

If mod($S(i, j)$, 2) = $EW(k)$ then

$$
LH1(i,j) = S(i,j) \times Q + Q/2
$$

End If

If mod($S(i, j)$, 2) $\neq EW(k)$ then If LH1(i, j) – $S(i, j) \times Q \in [0, Q/2]$ then

 $LH1(i, j) = (S(i, j)-1) \times Q + Q/2$

Else

$$
LH1(i,j) = (S(i,j)+1) \times Q + Q/2
$$

End If.

End If

Where $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., M/16$, $j = 0, 1, 2, ..., N/16$, and $k = 0, 1, 2, ..., R \times C$.

7. Apply inverse Haar 2D-DWT twice to obtained the adjusted matrix D

8. Replace DC Values and apply inverse 2D-DCT

9. Create watermarked image

2.e Proposed watermarking scheme - extraction

- 1. Decompose the watermarked color image (W) into three components as follows: $R(Red) = W[:, : , 0], G(Green) = W[:, : , 1],$ and $B(Blue) = W[:, : , 2].$
- 2. Implement steps 2 to 5 of embedding to obtain matrix (S). Where S represents the adjusted coefficients of the LH1 sub-band.
- 3. Finally, extract the encrypted watermark image (EW) using the eq. below.

 $EW(k) = mod(S(i, j), 2)$

2.f Experiment result - Interceptibility

2.f Experiment result - Robustness

• Normalized correlation (NC): similarity ratio between the original watermarked image and the recovered one.

3. ML Digital Watermarking Method: Supervised GAN Watermarking for Intellectual Property Protection [5]

[5] Fei, Jianwei, et al. "Supervised gan watermarking for intellectual property protection." *2022 IEEE International Workshop on Information Forensics and Security (WIFS)*. IEEE, 2022.

3.a Supervised GAN watermarking

3.a Supervised GAN watermarking

• The goal of watermarking network training stage is to learn a proper encoder and decoder, by minimizing the following loss:

$$
L_w = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{MSE}(x_i, E_w(x_i, w)) + \lambda \text{BCE}(w, D_w(x_{w,i}))
$$

3.a Supervised GAN watermarking

• The discriminator loss:

$$
\begin{aligned} L_D(D,G)=-\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_{\text{data}}(x)}[\log D(x)] \\ &-\mathbb{E}_{z\sim P(z)}[\log (1-D(G(z)))], \end{aligned}
$$

• The generator loss

 $L_G^w(D, G) = L_G(D, G) + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{z \sim P(z)}[BCE(D_w(G(z)), w_{gt})].$

4. Challenges and Limitations

- Traditional Methods
	- Static embedding strategies
	- Limited adaptability
	- Vulnerability to deep-learning attacks
	- Suitable for medical use
- Machine Learning Approaches
	- High computational overhead
	- Data dependency
	- Potential adversarial vulnerabilities
	- Suitable for LLM, Generative models

5. Conclusions

• Emerging Research Areas

- Hybrid traditional-ML methods
- Transformer-based watermarking
- Lightweight embedded system solutions
- Key Takeaways
	- Digital watermarking evolving from static to adaptive techniques
	- Machine learning offering dynamic, robust solutions
	- Continuous balance between imperceptibility and robustness

● Research Challenges

- Developing universal watermarking frameworks
- Ensuring multi-domain generalizability

References

[1] Mohammed, Abdulhakeem O., et al. "A blind and robust color image watermarking scheme based on DCT and DWT domains." Multimedia Tools and Applications 82.21 (2023): 32855-32881.

[2] Craver, Scott, et al. "Resolving rightful ownerships with invisible watermarking techniques: Limitations, attacks, and implications." IEEE Journal on Selected areas in Communications 16.4 (1998): 573-586.

[3] Yeung, Minerva M., and Fred Mintzer. "An invisible watermarking technique for image verification." Proceedings of international conference on image processing. Vol. 2. IEEE, 1997.

[4] Parah, Shabir A., et al. "Robust and blind watermarking technique in DCT domain using inter-block coefficient differencing." Digital Signal Processing 53 (2016): 11-24.

[5] Fei, Jianwei, et al. "Supervised gan watermarking for intellectual property protection." *2022 IEEE International Workshop on Information Forensics and Security (WIFS)*. IEEE, 2022.

Additional references:

[6] Liang, Yuqing, et al. "Watermarking Techniques for Large Language Models: A Survey." arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.00089 (2024).

[7] Fares, Kahlessenane, Khaldi Amine, and Euschi Salah. "A robust blind color image watermarking based on Fourier transform domain." Optik 208 (2020): 164562.

Thank you!